To: kamloopsnews@telus.net, editor@kamloopsthisweek.com, news@cfjctv.com, andrew\_glass@cbc.ca, nlnews@radionl.com
From: Jenniter Muir <jmuir@cariboo.bc.ca>
Subject: Paul Hellyer at UCC
Cc:
Bcc:
Attached:

FYT

>Paul Hellyer, at age 78, has written another book - this one entitled >Goodbye Canada. Mr. Hellyer, who many of you know as a former member of >parliament, former deputy prime minister, and current leader of the >Canadian Action Party will be speaking in Kamloops October 23rd, 2001. In >his book, Hellyer pleads for all concerned Canadians, who value their >independence, to form one progressive, nationalist party, and to work to >tree Canada from the influences puling her apart.

>Free Public Lecture >Grand Hall, Campus Activity Centre >The University College of the cariboo >7:00 PM >Tuesday, October 23rd, 2001

>Excerpts from Mr. Hellyer's speech "On Terrorism, Solutions and 'Goodbye >Canada'" to National Press Club Wednesday, October 3, 2001.

>The far more fundamental question is the extent of harmonization, and >general acceptance of U.S. laws and practices that we are going to accept. >Personally, I totally reject the concept of a common perimeter where U.S. >laws would apply. We should tighten up our borders and the Americans should >tighten up theirs. Polls suggesting that Canadians should go further, at >this stage, are understandable in the emotion of the moment but I suspect >that few of us have thought through the long term implications of such a >tundamental and probably irrevocable loss of sovereignty. Sovereignty, >which really means the right to have some control over our own destiny, is >the most important issue facing Canadians at this point in our history. The >question is whether we want to remain an independent country, and have some >control over our own affairs, or throw in the towel and join the United >States. That is the issue, and there is no point in pretending that it is >anything less than that...

>We would become just a poor northern state, or states, with little if any >influence on our own or American affairs. The United States would be a >loser too, because, apart from the realization of its dream of manifest >destiny, it would no longer have an independent ally which could be useful >in various situations. We were of immense help when we sent troops to >Cyprus to prevent a war between two allies in a situation where American >troops would not have been welcome. Canadian assistance was also critical >in the escape of Americans from the embassy in Iran and in other situations >trom time to time. The world is better off with an independent Canada that >can launch a project such as the land mines treaty, or a world court >without American approval...

>For example, most Americans and many Canadians believe that the evil acts
>of September 11th were totally unrelated to U.S. foreign policies since
>World War II. They should apply for the Pollyanna of the year award.
>Everything the United States does has consequences that are good or bad.
>And that is the reason that they need friends to challenge their assumptions.